Inside this issue:

- **Trump Praises UN for Gaza Peace Plan**
- **Georgetown University Appoints New CFR President: Another Betrayal**
- **Massie Wins Big in Epstein File Release Effort**
- **Trump Defends Proposal for 600,000 Chinese Student Visas**
- **Federal Judge's Overreach Opens Path for Runaway Constitutional Convention**
- **An Education Department by Any Other Name**
- ***Foreign Affairs* Endorses "Selective" Nuclear Proliferation**
- **Trump Designates Four Leftist Networks as Terrorist Organizations**
- **Economists Call for UN Panel to Tackle "Inequality"**
- **Chilean Elections Repudiate Socialism**
- **U.K. Bill Threatens Homeschooling**
- **Canada's Ostrich Apocalypse**
- **Argentina Paying Down Debt**
- **EU Establishment Starts Working With "Far Right"**
- **Using Radiophobia to Stifle Nuclear Energy**
- **NYMHM: News You May Have Missed**

Trump Praises UN for Gaza Peace Plan

In stark contrast to his customary anti-UN rhetoric, Donald Trump has praised the UN Security Council for its endorsement of a new peace plan for Gaza and the Middle East. His Truth Social post reads:

> Congratulations to the World on the incredible Vote of the United Nations Security Council, just moments ago, acknowledging and endorsing the BOARD OF PEACE, which will be chaired by me, and include the most powerful and respected Leaders throughout the World. This will go down as one of the biggest approvals in the History of the United Nations, will lead to further Peace all over the World, and is a moment of true Historic proportion! Thank you to the United Nations, and all of the Countries on the U.N. Security Council, China, Russia, France, The United Kingdom, Algeria, Denmark, Greece, Guyana, South Korea, Pakistan, Panama, Sierra Leone, Slovenia, and Somalia. Also thank you to those Countries that weren't on this Committee, but strongly backed the effort, including Qatar, Egypt, United Arab Emirates, The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, Indonesia, Turkiye [*sic*], and Jordan. The members of the Board, and many more exciting announcements, will be made in the coming weeks.

The UN, for its part, is "prepared to play 'any role' needed to advance the breakthrough Security Council resolution endorsing the United States-led Gaza peace plan," according to UN Deputy Spokesman Farhan Haq, cited in a UN news release, which continued:

> Although the UN has no defined role in either finalizing the Board of Peace chaired by President Trump — which will oversee the transition and reconstruction of Gaza — or the planned stabilization force, Mr. Haq said the UN was "committed to implementing the roles entrusted to it in the resolution."

Contributors: Gary Benoit • Steve Bonta • Paul Dragu • Christian Gomez

William F. Jasper • John T. Larabell • Alex Newman • Peter Rykowski • Rebecca Terrell • Joe Wolverton II, J.D.

Copyright ©2025 by *The New American*

It is tasked with scaling up humanitarian assistance for stricken civilians across the Strip following more than two years of war between Hamas and Israeli forces "and supporting all efforts to move the parties toward the next phase of the ceasefire."

The Council endorsed the US peace plan and a temporary international force for Gaza by 13-0 on Monday, with Russia and China abstaining.

The specific action is UN Security Council Resolution 2803, which makes clear from the language that it regards itself as the ultimate source of all authority for what happens in the Middle East. The resolution authorizes not only the creation of a Board of Peace, but also a "temporary International Stabilization Force." In practice, of course, this will mean, as with all other international peacekeeping efforts authorized by the UN Security Council, from the Korean Peninsula to Cyprus to Iraq, that the supreme command will be the UN, not, as Trump seems to imagine, the American president. If past is precedent, we can soon expect blue-helmeted troops to begin patrolling Gaza and perhaps other contested territories in Israel and Palestine. We can only wonder what the other "exciting announcements" in coming weeks will entail.

While Trump is to be commended for his many actions opposing the UN and the globalist agenda, such as withdrawing the U.S. from the Paris Climate Accord and from the World Health Organization, and for boycotting various globalist events, such as the ongoing COP30 climate conference in Brazil, his commendable interest in securing peace between warring countries is something that the globalists can turn to their advantage. Trump has made no secret of wanting to be known as the "peace president" — a desire that UN globalists can harness to their own benefit, if Trump gives them an opening.

It bears mentioning that there's nothing amiss in an American president using his good offices to help negotiate peace between third parties. It becomes constitutionally problematic, however, the moment that negotiations give way to foreign aid, military commitments, and the like. What Trump seems to envisage for Gaza is some kind of U.S. military presence, along with the usual "aid packages." Any sort of "Marshall Plan for Palestine" should be opposed, not only on "America First," but on constitutional grounds as well. — Steve Bonta

Georgetown University Appoints New CFR President: Another Betrayal

On October 15, Georgetown University's board of directors announced it had unanimously selected Eduardo M. Peñalver as the 49th president of Georgetown University. He will begin his new role on July 1, 2026.

The announcement was greeted with alarm (but not surprise) by orthodox Catholics who have been protesting for years the leftward drift of Georgetown and other once-staunch Catholic universities. Dr. Peñalver's radical record stretches back through his stints as president of Seattle University and dean of the Cornell University Law School to his undergraduate years at Cornell where he was a student protest leader.

Peñalvere's outspoken dissent from the Catholic Church's teaching on homosexual activity, homosexual "marriage," abortion, and other moral issues, apparently, did not faze the university's board.

"We are pleased to welcome Eduardo Peñalver to Georgetown University," said Thomas A. Reynolds, chair of the board of directors. "President Peñalver is an exceptional leader steeped in the Catholic and Jesuit tradition who brings a wealth of experience in higher education, a global mindset, a commitment to social justice and academic excellence, and a bold vision for Georgetown's future. We look forward to him joining our Georgetown community."

BLACK FRIDAY & CYBER MONDAY
DEAL ALL WEEK LONG!
OFFER RUNS 11/24 THRU 12/1
25% OFF
ANY PRODUCT
ORDERS OVER $100 - FREE SHIPPING!
OFFER DOES NOT INCLUDE SUBSCRIPTIONS,
MEMBERSHIP, DONATIONS, DIGITAL PRODUCTS.

Faithful Catholics dispute the characterization of the new president as "steeped in the Catholic and Jesuit tradition." "Georgetown was dangerous for Catholic families even before this," Patrick Reilly, president of the Cardinal Newman Society, told LifeSiteNews. "What can be expected from a Catholic university president who publicly rejects Catholic teaching on sexuality? This is part of the truth that is foundational to Catholic education."

Reilly and other Catholics point to statements by Peñalver such as: "I reject the Church's teachings on homosexuality, so I would favor an even easier way out by treating committed gay relationships as morally valuable," as well as his criticism of the U.S. Supreme Court's reversal of *Roe v. Wade* and his criticism of President Trump's proposed ban in 2017 on "transgender" troops.

It is probably safe to say that the board's attribution of "a global mindset" to Peñalver is apropos. He is a member of the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), the premier globalist organization pushing for world government. (His predecessor, John DeGioia, is also a CFR member.) Peñalver got headed down the "global mindset" road many years ago as a Rhodes Scholar at Oxford, the seedbed for one-world internationalism and the organizations that created the Deep State operation that has penetrated and dominated our federal government for decades. (For more on Cecil Rhodes and the Rhodes Scholarships see here and here.)

While dean of Cornell's Law School, Peñalver went out of his way to side with the radical rioters and disruptors of Black Lives Matter and to shame and criticize Cornell law professor William Jacobson, because Jacobson dared to call out the racism and lawlessness of the BLM hoodlums.

The Peñalver appointment underscores the devastating globalist/communist penetration and subversion of the Catholic Church, Catholic religious orders (including especially the Jesuits), and Catholic educational institutions since the Second Vatican Council (Vatican II, 1962-1965). Georgetown is the premier Jesuit university in America and, due to its location in Washington, D.C., and the bevy of big-name globalists on its board and faculty, has enormous influence on

THE ONYX COLLECTION
Showers & Lavatories
Custom Made in Kansas, USA Since 1985
800-669-9867 • www.onyxcollection.com
MADE IN THE USA

American foreign and domestic policies. One of Georgetown's most famous professors was Carroll Quigley, a mentor to Bill Clinton. He is also author of *Tragedy and Hope: A History of the World in Our Time* and *The Anglo-American Establishment,* two extraordinary volumes that revealed many of the "secret records" the Deep State network set up by Rhodes and his co-conspirators. (For an excellent, brief history of the Quigley revelations see Alex Newman's video "Deep State Insider Spills the Beans! | Behind the Deep State.")

Unfortunately, Dr. Peñalver will be presiding over an institution that is riddled with radicals and that has repeatedly caused outrage among the Catholic faithful for its promotion of heterodoxy, heresy, and homosexuality. (See here, here, here, here, here, and here.) Father James Martin, S.J., the Jesuits' most avid supporter and promoter of all things LGBTQ++, not only sits on the Georgetown U board of directors but is also editor-at-large for *America Magazine,* the flagship publication of the Jesuits in the United States. Naturally, he is a darling of the media globally and is the go-to guy when a statement is needed from a prominent "Catholic" to contradict the Catholic Church's official teaching.

Among the assorted revolutionaries at Georgetown is law professor Rosa Brooks. Among other things, she says the U.S. Constitution is "outdated" and keeps Americans in "bondage." In seismic terms, her Trump Derangement Syndrome probably registers in the 9.9 range on the Richter Scale. The former Obama appointee (she served as counselor in the Defense Department) infamously promoted the conspiracy theory that Trump 45 was going to use the military to stay in the White House and become a dictator. Then she turned around and advocated a conspiracy of military leaders to overthrow Donald Trump, our constitutionally-elected president. Brooks probably can't help herself, being a sort of "Red diaper baby" to parents who were 1960s radicals. Her parents, John and Barbara Ehrenreich, were active in the SDS (the deceptively named Students for a Democratic Society) and its successor, the New American Movement (NAM). They made the communist pilgrimage to the Peoples Republic of China in the 1970s and found the savagery of Mao's Cultural Revolution exhilarating. Naturally, these subversive antics endeared them to the powers that be in enemy-occupied American academia, where they were given professorships and lucrative book deals to attack the America they hate. Daughter Rosa is simply following in their footsteps.

Another gem at Georgetown is visiting law professor Mary McCord. You may remember her as one of the principal (but unprincipled) Obama-Biden DOJ officials who led the politically motivated Crossfire Hurricane ("Russia, Russia, Russia") prosecution/persecution of President Trump, Michael Flynn, Carter Page, *et al*. As Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General for National Security and then Acting Assistant Attorney General for National Security during the Obama administration, McCord weaponized FISA court warrants to illegally spy on Donald Trump and his campaign. McCord was appointed by then-House Speaker Nancy Pelosi to the U.S. House of Representatives January 6 Task Force to help lead the effort to railroad President Trump out of the Oval Office. All of this has earned her a slot as a paid political hitman on MSNBC and regular appearances on PBS, NPR, CNN, ABC, CBS, and the rest of the regime media. At Georgetown Law's Institute for Constitutional Advocacy and Protection she is fixated on "right-wing" domestic extremism, but has shown no comparable concern over Antifa, BLM, or other extremists of the Left.

Then there's Preston Mitchum, adjunct professor of law at Georgetown, who earned stardom by proclaiming in a tweet, "Yes, ALL white people are racist. Yes, ALL men are sexist. Yes, ALL cis people are transphobic." Oh, yes, he also REALLY hates police officers, as he made plain in this tweet:

> I really, really, really, really, really, really hate cops. Hate them. The power. The unfettered abuse. The narcissism. Hate hate them.

Just the sort of well-balanced, judicious, thoughtful mindset you'd expect for a law professor at a prestigious university, right? Especially in this era of "tolerance" and aversion to "hate speech"!

On his personal website, Mitchum humbly informs us: "Preston Mitchum (he/him) is an award-winning Black queer attorney, advocate, and activist of considerable renown," who "has established himself as a leading figure in the fields of legal and social justice."

The Brooks-McCord-Mitchum lineup doesn't begin to scratch the surface of the problems at Georgetown. It is highly unlikely that Eduardo Peñalver's appointment will do anything to improve the situation. — William F. Jasper

Massie Wins Big in Epstein File Release Effort

On November 14, while still flexing his political muscles in a futile effort to prevent the release of Epstein files, President Trump posted on Truth Social:

> The Democrats are doing everything in their withering power to push the Epstein Hoax again, despite the DOJ releasing 50,000 pages of documents, in order to deflect from all of their bad policies and losses, especially the SHUTDOWN EMBARRASSMENT, where their party is in total disarray, and has no idea what to do." He added, "Some Weak Republicans have fallen into their clutches because they are soft and foolish.

In his mind, one of the "soft and foolish" Republicans was undoubtedly Representative Thomas Massie (Ky.), who had not only joined Democratic Representative Ro Khanna (Calif.) in cosponsoring the Epstein Files Transparency Act (H.R. 4405), but had also successfully obtained 218 signatures on a discharge petition to force the full House to vote on the bill, despite the staunch opposition of Trump and Republican leadership.

The same day Trump posted the above message, he attacked Massie for remarrying about 16 months after his wife of 31 years had passed away. "Did Thomas Massie, sometimes referred to as Rand Paul Jr., because of the fact that he always votes against the Republican Party, get married already???" Trump asked, with three question marks to emphasize his disgusting question. "Boy, that was quick! No wonder the Polls have him at less than an 8% chance of winning the Election. Anyway, have a great life Thomas and (?). His wife will soon find out that she's stuck with a LOSER!"

But the "LOSER" turned out to be the *winner* in the congressional Republicans' battle over whether to release the Epstein files. When Trump finally realized he would not be able to stop the House from passing the bill, he posted just two days later, on November 16, "As I said on Friday night aboard Air Force One to the Fake News Media, House Republicans should vote to release the Epstein files, because we have nothing to hide, and it's time to move on from this Democrat Hoax perpetrated by Radical Left Lunatics in order to deflect from the Great Success of the Republican Party, including our recent Victory on the Democrat

Cosamin®
for JOINT HEALTH
nutramax LABORATORIES CONSUMER CARE, INC.
helps promote joint comfort so you can enjoy life's special moments*
#1 RESEARCHED GLUCOSAMINE/ CHONDROITIN BRAND
Cosamin DS JOINT HEALTH
Cosamin ASU JOINT HEALTH
SAVE 20%
Enter Code LIBERTY20 for discount.
Visit nutramaxstore.com
THESE STATEMENTS HAVE NOT BEEN EVALUATED BY THE FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION. THIS PRODUCT IS NOT INTENDED TO DIAGNOSE, TREAT, CURE, OR PREVENT ANY DISEASE.
Based on U.S. studies published in peer-reviewed journals. The Cosamin® Brand is the most researched glucosamine/chondroitin sulfate brand.

Shutdown.'" What a sudden and stark about-face in Trump's position on releasing the files!

Other Republican lawmakers also earned the president's wrath on the 14th. Trump posted that he was "withdrawing my support and Endorsement of 'Congresswoman' Marjorie Taylor Greene, of the Great State of Georgia…. [A]ll I see 'Wacky' Marjorie do is COMPLAIN, COMPLAIN, COMPLAIN!" Then on the 15th, Trump posted that "Marjorie 'Traitor' Green is a disgrace to our GREAT REPUBLICAN PARTY!" Though he did not say it in either post, Trump was undoubtedly mad that his erstwhile ally Greene was one of the renegade "soft and foolish" Republicans who had joined Massie in the discharge-petition effort. Of course, Trump could not similarly withdraw his support from Massie, since Massie did not have the president's support to begin with. In fact, Trump is trying to get Massie defeated in the Republican primary next year in Kentucky.

Trump's capitulation on the Epstein files was truly stunning. He had worked for months to block Massie's discharge petition and the files' release, and he had the support of the Republican leadership including Speaker of the House Mike Johnson (R-La.). Why did Trump capitulate? "He got tired of me winning," Massie told *Politico* in a November 17 interview.

And Massie went on not only to win — he won big! When the House voted on the bill to release the files on November 18, it won by a landslide 427-1. The Senate then passed the bill later the same day by unanimous consent (a procedure that can be employed when not a single senator objects to the bill), and it was transmitted to President Trump for his signature on November 19.

It should be noted that Massie is also a big winner in terms of his fidelity to the U.S. Constitution, the document that he and every other U.S. representative and senator have sworn to uphold. In *The New American's* Freedom Index, our congressional scorecard based on the Constitution, Massie has a lifetime score of 99 percent. And in case you are wondering, Greene and Paul have lifetime scores of 97 percent and 96 percent, respectively. Trump may complain that these lawmakers are voting against the Republican Party, but the reality is that when it comes down to principle versus party, these lawmakers have demonstrated a willingness to vote for principle regardless of political consequences. — Gary Benoit

Trump Defends Proposal for 600,000 Chinese Student Visas

President Donald Trump is defending his proposal to offer a record 600,000 visas to Chinese students.

In an interview with Fox News' Laura Ingraham last week, Trump stated, "We do have a lot of people coming in from China. We always have, China and other countries. We also have a massive system of colleges and universities. And if we were to cut that in half, which perhaps makes some people happy, you would have half the colleges in the United States would go out of business."

In the same interview, Trump advocated importing more H1-B workers into the United States, despite signing a proclamation in September to do the opposite.

Fox News reports:

> The remarks mark a reversal from earlier this year, when the Trump administration said it would "aggressively revoke" Chinese student visas amid espionage concerns. In August, Trump changed course and announced plans to expand the program dramatically.
>
> More than 277,000 Chinese students studied in the U.S. during the 2023–2024 school year, according to the Institute of International Education — the second-largest foreign student population after India. That figure is down from a peak of over 372,000 in 2019–2020.

> The comments come as the State Department has intensified its visa crackdown, revoking more than 80,000 nonimmigrant visas — including about 8,000 student visas — since the start of Trump's term. Officials say many were revoked over criminal activity or participation in rallies against U.S. support for Israel.

Although immigration policy — specifically, reducing both illegal and legal migration — has been one of the bright spots of Trump's second term, the president has occasionally contradicted his administration's own policies by advocating increased legal migration to the United States, as he did during his first term.

In addition to the broader dangers of mass migration, increasing the number of Chinese student visas would give Communist China an opportunity to expand its theft of U.S. research and technology. Rather than go along with such a proposal, Congress should adopt a true "America First" migration policy and end mass migration into the United States. — Peter Rykowski

Federal Judge's Overreach Opens Path for Runaway Constitutional Convention

U.S. District Judge Toby Crouse ruled that Article II, Section 13 of the Kansas Constitution, which requires a two-thirds supermajority vote in the state legislature to apply for an Article V constitutional convention, violates the U.S. Constitution. This provision, added in 1974 to prevent impulsive calls for a constitutional convention, was challenged by pro-Con-Con lawmakers because it impedes their efforts for a convention they claim would impose limits on the federal government.

> A majority of the members then elected (or appointed) and qualified of each house, voting in the affirmative, shall be necessary to pass any bill. Two-thirds (2/3) of the members then elected (or appointed) and qualified in each house, voting in the affirmative, shall be necessary to ratify any amendment to the Constitution of the United States or to make any application for congress to call a convention for proposing amendments to the Constitution of the United States.

In March 2023, simple majorities in the Kansas Senate and House passed resolutions calling for a Con-Con following the wording of the Convention of States (COS) Project, urging Congress to propose amendments on fiscal restraints, term limits, and curbing federal power and jurisdiction. However, under Kansas' supermajority rule, these resolutions failed.

By dictating how the Kansas Legislature must conduct its legislative processes preventing reckless federal interventions, Judge Crouse's overreach violates the 10th Amendment. Federal judges do not have legitimate authority to override state constitutional protections, and their judicial opinions cannot override the U.S. Constitution. This ruling is another example of blatant federal judicial activism. The Constitution does not specify a simple-majority threshold for state legislative applications to Congress for an Article V Convention — that power is reserved to the states. Each state has interpretive authority over the Constitution, and should not defer to unconstitutional "judicial supremacy," which is contrary to the intent of the Founding Fathers.

Article V convention proponents such as the Convention of States Project claim it is a tool for reform, such as balanced budget amendments, or term limits. The Article V lobby's tactics aggregate unrelated, centuries-old state applications to reach the 34-state threshold needed to force Congress to convene an Article V Convention. These activists mislead state legislators about a "limited" convention, while filing lawsuits to gain support.

However, history proves claims of a limited convention to be untrue — the 1787 Philadelphia Convention, called merely to amend the Articles of Confederation, discarded the Articles entirely and drafted a new Constitution, exceeding its mandate. Once convened, delegates — very likely to be heavily influenced by globalists — could propose anything, with no enforceable limitations. This decision opens a dangerous path that would likely spiral into a runaway convention rewriting or replacing the entire Constitution.

Leftists and globalists want an Article V Convention, too. They see it as the best opportunity to abolish the U.S. Constitution. Leftist groups have pushed for a convention, claiming they would repeal the Second Amendment, abolish the Electoral College, and

guarantee "rights" to abortion, healthcare, and housing. Figures including Harvard law professor Lawrence Lessig and *The Nation* magazine have endorsed "runaway" conventions for progressive overhauls, with Lessig stating, "I don't fear a so-called runaway convention."

Americans must preserve our constitutional Republic, not remove protections that stand in the way of it becoming a socialist state. Lawmakers should nullify unconstitutional laws under Article VI, enforce existing limits on federal power, and rescind Article V applications. This ruling isn't a win for Americans; it's a victory for those slowly chipping away at constitutional safeguards. — David DeRidder

An Education Department by Any Other Name…

Don't be fooled by President Donald Trump's widely publicized plans to shutter the U.S. Department of Education (ED). Though touted to "break up" the federal bureaucracy, the administration's plans merely transfer responsibilities in several areas to other federal agencies.

In a November 18 press release, ED announced "six new interagency agreements (IAAs) with four agencies" to "streamline federal education activities on the legally required programs, reduce administrative burdens, and refocus programs and activities to better serve students and grantees."

"The Trump Administration is taking bold action to break up the federal education bureaucracy and return education to the states," said U.S. Secretary of Education Linda McMahon.

Apparently the irony is lost on her that the remainder of the lengthy press release mentions nothing specific about returning power to the states. It instead goes into great detail about specific Education programs being transferred to other federal agencies.

The Department of Labor will assume responsibility for elementary, secondary, and postsecondary education, while the Interior Department gets the Indian Education Partnership. The Foreign Medical Accreditation Partnership goes to the Department of Health and Human Services, and the State Department adopts

International Education and Foreign Language Studies Partnership. — Rebecca Terrell

***Foreign Affairs* Endorses "Selective" Nuclear Proliferation**

In a stunning *volte-face*, the globalist Establishment mouthpiece *Foreign Affairs* has published an article, "America's Allies Should Go Nuclear," in support of nuclear proliferation — in order to strengthen the "global order." In a break with generations of foreign policy nuclear non-proliferation dogma, authors Moritz S. Graefrath and Mark A. Raymond argue that Japan, Canada, and Germany should all develop their own nuclear deterrents. This has become necessary, they aver, because of the waning American commitment to provide security guarantees in Europe and East Asia against Russian and Chinese aggression — two long-held pillars of multilateral world order. Because these countries both have the resources to build nuclear weapons and have proven track records of upholding the "rules-based international order," Graefrath and Raymond believe that three such additional nuclear powers would be able to act as guarantors of regional stability in the event that the United States is no longer willing to participate. For example:

> A nuclear-armed Japan would … insert an extra layer in crisis escalation scenarios, allowing an effective response to Chinese aggression without directly drawing in the United States. When contemplating an attack on Japan, China would be forced to consider the monumental costs of Japanese

> retaliation independent of any additional American support. Having nuclear weapons would also equip Japan, and perhaps East Asia more broadly, to handle a sudden change in Washington's security commitment. The Trump administration's newest National Defense Strategy prioritizes the defense of the U.S. homeland and the Western Hemisphere over the threats emanating from China and Russia, signaling a potentially seismic shift in orientation.

As for the Western Hemisphere:

> Canadian proliferation would enhance American homeland security. Given the integration of the Canadian and American militaries within NATO and the bilateral air defense system NORAD, the two countries would be fighting together in virtually any conceivable hemispheric defense scenario…. A Canadian nuclear deterrent reduces the chance that the United States would be called on to defend its continental neighbor, effectively freeing up American capabilities and removing an avenue of potential geopolitical encroachment. American support for a Canadian nuclear deterrent would also provide crucial reassurance about Washington's commitment to continental defense at a time when the neighbors' bilateral relationship is under strain.
>
> For Canada in turn, possessing nuclear weapons signals to the United States that it, too, accepts shared responsibility for continental defense and that Ottawa can deter potential aggressors without American support.

Put simply, the authors believe that these three countries can be trusted to join the "nuclear club" solely for the purpose of upholding the new world order. The authors argue that none of these three countries would be likely to trigger nuclear proliferation from regional competitors.

The fact that *Foreign Affairs* would publish such a piece that diverges so sharply from the decades-long non-proliferation dogma suggests that globalists are changing their strategy in reaction to Trump and the America First movement. — Steve Bonta

Trump Designates Four Leftist Networks as Terrorist Organizations

On November 13, the Trump administration designated four violent leftist networks in Europe as terrorist organizations in a long-overdue effort to stop the radical ideologies threatening Western civilization. Secretary of State Marco Rubio announced the designation of "violent Antifa" groups in Germany, Italy, and Greece that have engaged in bombings, shootings, and attacks in the name of "anti-fascist" activism. The groups labeled by the State Department include:

• Antifa Ost (East) in Germany: "hammerbande" carried out hammer attacks in Dresden between 2018 and 2023.

• Informal Anarchist Federation/International Revolutionary Front in Italy: sent explosive packages to politicians, shot the CEO of Ansaldo Nucleare, and claimed responsibility for letter bombs sent to Italy's national tax collection agency, Deutsche Bank executives, and foreign embassies.

• Armed Proletarian Justice in Greece: planted a bomb outside Athens' riot police headquarters.

• Revolutionary Class Self-Defense in Greece: claimed responsibility for the February 2024 Labour Ministry bombing, and the April 2025 explosion at Hellenic Train.

In the press release, Rubio condemned the "anti-Americanism," "anti-capitalism," and "anti-Christianity" Marxist ideologies they espouse to justify their attacks, and the State Department stated in a post on X, "Anarchist militants have waged terror campaigns in the United States and Europe, conspiring to undermine the foundations of Western Civilization through their brutal attacks."

These designations, effective November 20, empower the United States to freeze assets, block financial support, and deny resources to these networks, and mirror Trump's executive order on September 22 that labeled Antifa as a domestic terrorist organization,

fulfilling his promise to dismantle leftist threats that have fueled chaos. This announcement comes after increasing concerns over leftist violence, including the horrific assassination of Charlie Kirk on September 10, and ongoing violent protests against immigration enforcement in Los Angeles, Chicago, and Portland.

These movements operate as decentralized cells in order to incite and carry out their "anti-fascist" violence targeting Christians, politicians, conservatives, and law enforcement. Europe's long history of leftist terror serves as a warning for America, Antifa-linked U.S. agitators have escalated protests into riots, mirroring their European counterparts playbook.

President Trump's action exposes past complacency toward radical leftists, who have been allowed to operate with impunity for decades. Americans must demand our leaders not tolerate Marxist, leftist, globalist, Deep State mayhem. — David DeRidder

Economists Call for UN Panel to Tackle "Inequality"

More than 600 economists have signed an open letter calling for the creation of an "International Panel on Inequality," modeled after the UN's Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC).

The signers, which include former Federal Reserve Chairwoman and Treasury Secretary Janet Yellen, wrote:

> We support the view of the [Professor Joseph] Stiglitz G20 [Extraordinary Committee on Inequality] that the world faces an inequality emergency. We are profoundly concerned, as they are, that extreme concentrations of wealth translate into undemocratic concentrations of power, unravelling trust in our societies and polarising our politics.
>
> We agree that economic inequality is an engine that drives rapid climate change and ensures its negative impacts are disproportionately felt by the poorest and most vulnerable people.
>
> Just as the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change has played a vital role in providing neutral, science-based and objective assessments of climate change, a new International Panel on Inequality would do the same for the inequality emergency. This non-partisan and independent panel of experts on inequality would bring together the most up-to-date work of economists, scientists and other inequality experts from around the world, just as the IPCC does. It would provide policymakers the best, most objective assessments on the scale of inequality, its causes and consequences, and consider potential solutions. It would provide such assessments in international and national contexts, including to inform deliberations at the G20 and the United Nations.

A few observations are in order. First, this letter demonstrates that the globocrats have added "inequality" to their list of excuses for "global governance" — which includes climate change, food, artificial intelligence, and many others. Second, despite claiming that the IPCC provides "neutral, science-based and objective assessments of climate change," *The New American* has reported multiple times that the opposite is true (see here, here, here, and here).

Additionally, the letter makes the erroneous and dangerous assumption that society's ills can be solved by more government and bureaucracy — when, in reality, many problems are created by government in the first place. As President Ronald Reagan accurately noted, "government is not the solution to our problem; government is the problem."

Americans must reject this agenda for global government control, and vigilantly defend the Americanist principles of the U.S. Founding Fathers. — Peter Rykowski

Chilean Elections Repudiate Socialism

Chile appears poised to become the latest South American country to definitively repudiate generational socialism, following the examples of Ecuador, Paraguay, Bolivia, and Argentina. One of the New World's most developed countries, Chile narrowly elected socialist Gariel Boric, a charismatic young leftist radical in the mold of New York City's Mamdani, defeating conservative Jose Antonio Kast and replacing communist Camila Vallejo. Boric was able to pose as a more moderate leftist — but upon taking office, he began pushing for radical socialist reforms to the Chilean constitution.

As a result of socialist policies, Chile's once-enviable economy went into a tailspin, leading to widespread social unrest by 2019, right before the Covid hammer dropped. Still, when Boric stepped up for the 2022 elections, Chileans weren't quite ready to do what Argentina would do in 2023 — elect a leader who favored individual liberty over collectivism, and capitalism over socialism. Accordingly, Boric was elected president by a narrow margin, and has managed to convince a majority of Chileans that socialism really is the road to ruin. Not only that, Argentina, Chile's greatest rival, has been experiencing a spectacular revitalization under the leadership of Javier Milei, the current world political leader of the freedom movement.

Accordingly, to almost nobody's surprise, the first round of Chile's presidential elections set up a runoff between Jose Antonio Kast, who is politically something of a hybrid of Argentina's Milei and El Salvador's Bukele, and another candidate from the Chilean communist party, Jeanette Jara. Although Jara got slightly more votes than Kast, the first round (26 percent to Kast's 24 percent), around 30 percent of the remaining votes went to sundry other "right-wing" candidates, including the ironically named libertarian Johannes Kaiser (14 percent) and conservative Evelyn Matthei (13 percent), both of whom immediately threw their support behind Kast. The runoff on December 14 will determine the winner, and next year will probably bring similar good news to both Peru and Colombia, where conservative candidates will probably win as well. Even in Marxist Brazil, Lula da Silva's grip on power is looking increasingly tenuous, in spite of (or perhaps because of) the jailing of his political opponent Jair Bolsonaro.

In a word, the South American spark of freedom lit by Milei is turning into a conflagration. — Steve Bonta

U.K. Bill Threatens Homeschooling

The Parliament of the United Kingdom is advancing legislation that would expand government oversight and regulation of homeschooling, threatening parents' freedom to raise their children as they desire.

The Children's Wellbeing and Schools Bill is sponsored by the U.K. Department for Education. In March 2025, the House of Commons voted 382-104 in favor of it, with the Labour, Liberal Democratic, and Green Parties in support, and all other parties either voting against or abstaining. The House of Lords is now considering the legislation.

The bill contains multiple provisions addressing aspects of education policy. Homeschooling parents, however, are sounding the alarm about provisions that would significantly expand government restrictions on homeschooling.

The Home School Legal Defense Association (HSLDA) reports:

> The authority the bill grants government officials over homeschooling is expansive. According to one newspaper, local councils would be given the power to terminate homeschooling rights if their home environment is "deemed unsuitable or unsafe," but the bill does not define those terms, leaving the language open to interpretation and potential abuse by officials.
>
> The measure also calls for issuing every student — including those being homeschooled — a national identification number. This allows each student to be tracked via a centralized database, not just for academic purposes, but across government agencies including those involved in providing health care and other social services.
>
> Some advocates in England also fear the Schools bill could be used to restrict religious liberty. Though the

legislation does not address faith-based education, the proposed registry for homeschooling families would require parents to submit detailed information about everyone who contributes to the education of their children, including how much time children spend with these individuals.

As one religious advocacy organization noted: "It appears that home-educating parents will have to report if their child attends Sunday School, including names and addresses of the Sunday School teachers."

Because of the United Kingdom's policy of devolution, the bill will, for the most part, affect parents only in England.

This bill reflects the growing worldwide attacks on homeschooling, led by the UN Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO). In September, UNESCO released a report on homeschooling, which

implied that homeschooling may violate "human rights," invoked the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child, and called for homeschooling legislation to be "aligned with … internationally agreed standards."

Such attacks are escalating in the United States. The HSLDA notes, "The [U.K.'s] measure reflects the underlying ethos of pro-regulation model legislation released by a US organization in 2024, which is now influencing some American lawmakers. The Make Homeschool Safe Act suggests a litany of restrictions aimed at home education based on the presumption that parents can't be trusted to raise and teach their own children."

What can we do about this? First, we must encourage parents to get their children out of government schools and into homeschooling or sound private schools. Second, we must urge our state legislators to robustly protect parental rights, including parents' ability to freely homeschool their children. Finally, we must go on the offensive, and urge our legislators to get government completely out of education and revert the size and scope of government back to how it existed before the massive buildup of government beginning in the late 19th century. — Peter Rykowski

Canada's Ostrich Apocalypse:

The Canadian and international press is outraged over the recent slaughter of nearly 400 ostriches at Universal Ostrich Farms near Edgewood, Alberta, after months of fruitless efforts to save the birds. The massacre, carried out in the dead of night by rifles, was the result of H5N1 bird influenza being detected in the flock in late 2024.

Some Canadian activists even appealed to American leaders, including RFK, Jr. and Mehmet Oz, to intervene, but to no avail. In the end, every appeal failed, and Canada's jackbooted CFIA officials (Canadian Food Inspection Agency) carried out the state-mandated slaughter, not only terrorizing the birds for hours by firing into the entire flock, but later confiscating not only all the carcasses, but every last egg as well. As a result, the claims of the owners that the birds posed no threat cannot be verified or falsified.

What most of the outraged public on both sides of the border fails to fully appreciate is that the wholesale slaughter of poultry and other animals, in the name of combating epizootic diseases (i.e., epidemic diseases in animals), has become very routine in the paranoid post-Covid era. And what's more, it is a result of laws and regulations framed to comply with the mandates of globalist organizations, including the World Health Organization and the much less well-known World Organization for Animal Health (WOAH).

The WOAH was set up back in 1924, before the United Nations even existed. Today it works in concert with the WHO to set regulations for controlling epizootic diseases. In 2005, WOAH set a new international standard for the eradication of diseases such as avian influenza, unimaginatively known as "stamping out," in close collaboration with two UN agencies, the WHO and the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). The policy, spelled out here, basically provides for the wholesale culling of flocks and herds by the government.

The mass slaughter of ostriches, beloved and charismatic animals, has kindled outrage. But the Biden administration, in order to comply with WOAH standards, presided over the government-mandated slaughter of millions of chickens, which not only involved the wanton government destruction of private

property, but also triggered a monthslong nationwide egg shortage that inflicted extreme harm on stores, restaurants, and consumers dependent on a cheap and abundant egg supply. But no matter: International standards must be upheld, especially in the post-Covid "new normal," where the threat of pandemic is constantly weaponized by the Powers That Be. — Steve Bonta

Argentina Paying Down Debt

Argentina's economic miracle continues, with the Milei administration announcing on November 17 the sixth straight month of public debt reduction, with a decline of almost $7 million from September to October. Let that sink in: Argentina is actually paying down its debt, while America's continues to mount, to the tune of $1 trillion every three months. While the Argentine numbers may sound insignificant, bear in mind that Argentina's economy is much smaller than that of the United States — and that debt reduction, however small, is a vast distance from the runaway public debt train in the United States. If present trends continue, it may be the United States that ends up crippled by defaults, hyperinflation, and feckless socialist measures that heap fiscal insult upon financial injury, while Argentina becomes the new world economic prodigy. — Steve Bonta

EU Establishment Starts Working With "Far Right"

Establishment-aligned forces in the European Parliament have begun working with so-called "far-right" parties, seemingly marking the end of the *cordon sanitaire*, where the Establishment overtly worked to marginalize pro-sovereignty populists.

On November 13, Patriots of Europe and Europe of Sovereign Nations, EU-level alliances of populist-conservative political parties that the media and Establishment label "far right," voted with the Establishment European People's Party (EPP) and the moderately conservative European Conservatives and Reformists to weaken EU climate laws that imposed environmental-reporting requirements on businesses. The EPP previously refused to entertain any sort of alliance with these parties.

To be clear, the EPP only took this action after negotiations with socialist and centrist forces failed, and "it is expected to still rely on its centrist partners for more ambitious pro-European legislation, such as the long-term EU budget." Nonetheless, it demonstrates growing acceptance of previously taboo populist-conservative parties, and demonstrates that those parties have "learned the inner machinery of the [European] Parliament to increase their influence."

What does this mean for national sovereignty? Unfortunately, the EPP's increased willingness to align with populist-conservative parties appears unlikely to significantly affect the steady slide toward a "United States of Europe." Although the EPP has advocated less burdensome EU regulations, it does not dispute the European Union's basic authority to set such regulations in the first place. Additionally, most populist parties have abandoned their previous support for terminating their countries' membership in the European Union, Schengen Area, and Euro currency partly due to electoral pressures and a desire to focus on more captivating issues such as migration. Despite this, last week's incident demonstrates that populist-conservative parties have grown to the point that the Establishment can no longer ignore them. — Peter Rykowski

Using Radiophobia to Stifle Nuclear Energy

Donald Trump is attempting to "trade human safety for nuclear industry expansion," claims a November 12 letter sent by more than 40 leftist groups in reference to executive orders (EOs 14299, 14300, 14301 and 14302) the president signed last May aimed at expanding and accelerating the nuclear energy sector. Such growth is long overdue, as our adversaries outstrip us in nuclear

technology by decades thanks to antiquated and onerous regulations in this country.

Albeit Trump's time would be better spent (and his oath of office better served) in dismantling the unconstitutional and industry-stifling Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rather than reforming it.

Regardless, EO 14300 rightly calls into question the Linear No-Threshold (LNT) model for radiation exposure and its close cousin, the 'as low as reasonably achievable' (ALARA) standard. LNT claims that radiation is unsafe at any dose, and ALARA backs up that fiction by dictating unjustified and unreasonably low NRC standards for radiation exposure. LNT has shaped U.S. regulatory policy since it was first irrationally postulated and promoted by the International Commission on Radiological Protection after that organization's restructuring and take-over by the World Health Organization in the 1950s.

The fraud of both LNT and ALARA is easily exposed when you consider that we absorb ionizing radiation from the environment every day. Food, building materials, soil, cosmic energy, and even your own blood (and that of those around you) are some of many sources of background radiation. Medical procedures, jet flights, and other normal activities add to exposure levels. Visitors to Grand Central Station in New York receive a whopping dose from the uranium and potassium in its granite structure. You eat radioactive potassium in bananas and radium in Brazil nuts.

Are these environmental exposures hazardous? Hardly! Among ample research indicating otherwise is the "Apparently beneficial effect of low to intermediate doses of A-Bomb radiation on human life-span," published in 1990 in the *International Journal of Radiological Biology*. It reported on Japanese survivors of the 1945 bombings of Hiroshima and Nagasaki.

"The healthiest survivors were those who received approximately 10,000 mrem (millirems) of radiation in a very short time — a second or less," reported Ed Hiserodt for *The New American.* (A "rem," or Roentgen equivalent man, is a unit that measures the biological effects of radiation on humans and other animals.)

Another striking proof of radiation benefits occurred by accident in 1992 when a man tinkering with a Geiger counter in his apartment in Taiwan discovered that the building contained extraordinary amounts of radioactive cobalt. Officials identified 10,000 people who had lived there during 20 years since its construction, averaging a dose rate of 4,000 mrem per year. A review of health records found that their cancer death rate had plummeted to near zero, and congenital defects nosedived from an expected 46 to only three children of parents living in the apartments.

Yet in their letter to Trump and top administration officials, anti-nukes with groups such as the Sierra Club, Beyond Nuclear, and Physicians for Social Responsibility insist that the NRC ignore Trump's directive to revise its "*Standards for Protection Against Radiation*, found in the Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 10, Part 20."

The missive amounts to a screed of unabashed fearmongering, of which the following quote is one example. Keep in mind the above-cited dose rates as you read:

> NRC has not made a revision yet, and has been hearing that the Part 20 exposure (external only) should be taken from the existing 100 mrem a year, per license, to 500 mrem a year, and in the view of some, even to 10 rems, which would be 100 times the current level. This means anywhere from five times less protection to one hundred times less protection.

The letter claimed an expected 80-percent rate of cancer incidence in exposed males under relaxed strictures. Why? Because LNT says so. Who needs real-life outcomes when you have such a no-brainer model?

Interestingly, 10 rems per year is exactly what people living in Ramsar, Iran, absorb on average. That location boasts the highest background radiation on Earth due to its radium-rich hot springs and thorium-rich soil. The area's background radiation is "double the legal limit for a radiation worker in the United States. It's about 10 times the level that would be required for considering an emergency response evacuation from Fukushima or Chernobyl," explains North Carolina State University associate professor Robert B. Hayes. Yet tourists flock to Ramsar's resorts to take advantage of its therapeutic hot springs. Meanwhile, officials have never recorded any uptick in rates of cancer, birth defects or other radiation-related concerns among residents.

It is indeed time to stop over-regulating nuclear. Thereby we will not only unshackle the safest, most reliable, most efficient and most economic energy source, but we will also end irrational radiophobia, clearing the way to health benefits of ionizing radiation for an underexposed public. — Rebecca Terrell

NYMHM: News You May Have Missed

Apple Launches TSA-ready Digital ID for Domestic Travel

Newsom Inks Climate Deals With Tyrants, Slams Trump

At COP30 Countries Sign First-ever Declaration to Control Info on Climate

House Votes to Release Epstein Files — MTG Warns the Real Fight Begins Now

Inside COP30: On-the-Ground Report With Alex Newman & Andrew Muller

Power Stripped from Education Department in Latest Trump Administration Move to Dismantle It

"Global Governance": Communists, Globalists All in on World Government

Report: Israel Seeks 20-year U.S. Security Pact Pitched as "America First"

Guys Going Galt: "How They Broke the Boys"

Maine Mom Challenges Court Order Forbidding Her to Take Her Daughter to Church

Far-left Democrats Tell Military Members They Must "Refuse Illegal Orders"

[END]